Piovia-Scott, Esq. of The Law Offices of Randy Renick. Defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, DEPUTY MARK ROMERO, and DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ were represented

27

28

by and through their attorneys of record, Thomas C. Hurrell, Esq. and Rittu Kumar, Esq., of Hurrell Cantrall, LLP.

A jury of 8 persons was regularly impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury

was instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions

to return a Special Verdict as to the claims against defendants DEPUTY MARK
ROMERO and DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ. The Court advised the jury that it

would determine the liability of defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and LOS

ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, if any, based on their findings

of liability, if any, against defendants DEPUTY MARK ROMERO and/or DEPUTY

JUAN RODRIGUEZ. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned to Court on

January 16, 2008, with a 8-0 verdict in favor of defendants DEPUTY MARK

ROMERO and DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ.

Specifically, the jury found in favor of defendants DEPUTY MARK ROMERO and DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ on the special verdict with respect to the following questions submitted:

## **EXCESSIVE FORCE CLAIM**

Did Deputy Mark Romero and/or Deputy Juan Rodriguez use excessive force against plaintiff Justin Newman in violation of his 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983?

Please answer separately as to each individual defendant:

a.	Deputy Mark Romero		
	YES	NO_	X
b.	Deputy Juan Rodriguez		
	YES	NO_	X

2728

2

3

4

5

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

**BATTERY CLAIM** 

Did Deputy Mark Romero and/or Deputy Juan Rodriguez batter Justin Newman by using unreasonable force in regards to the March 7, 2005 incident?

Please answer separately as to each individual defendant:

a. Deputy Mark Romero

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_X

b. Deputy Juan Rodriguez

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_X

The jury did not reach a finding as to the Banes Act claim for violation of California Civil Code section 52.1 against DEPUTY MARK ROMERO and/or DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ, given its findings in favor of DEPUTY MARK ROMERO and DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ on plaintiff JUSTIN NEWMAN's excessive force claim.

In addition, given that the jury did not reach a finding as to the Banes Act claim and found in favor of defendants DEPUTY MARK ROMERO and DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ on plaintiff JUSTIN NEWMAN's battery claim, the Court hereby finds defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT not liable to plaintiff JUSTIN NEWMAN for his battery claim and does not reach a finding as to defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT's liability for plaintiff JUSTIN NEWMAN's Banes Act claim.

It appearing by reason of said verdict that defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, DEPUTY MARK ROMERO, and DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ are entitled to judgment against plaintiff JUSTIN NEWMAN,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff JUSTIN

NEWMAN shall take nothing from defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, DEPUTY MARK ROMERO, and DEPUTY JUAN RODRIGUEZ, and that costs are awarded to defendants as determined by the cost bill to be submitted by defendants. DATED: February 25, 2008 JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT